


(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JABALPUR 1001

WRIT PETITION NO. 5026 OF 2000

PETITIONERS : U.P. Sharma S/o S.P. Sharma, aged 42 years, Working as Assistant Manager M.P. State Civil Supplies Corporation, Regional Office Bilaspur (M.P.).

VS

RESPONDENTS : 1. M.P. State Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd., Bhopal, through its Managing Director, Pariyawas Bhawan, Jail Road, Bhopal(M.P.)

2. Regional Manager, M.P.State Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd., Bilaspur (M.P.).

Amended as per Hon'ble Court's order 13/2/2001

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

1. Particulars of the petitioner :

As mentioned in above cause title.

2. particulars of the respondents :

As mentioned in above cause title.

3. Particulars of the order against which the Writ petition is made :

Nil.

Surendra Kumar Khare
S.K.Khare
2018
Oath Commissioner JBP.



(3)

Amended
Vide MP
Order
dated
15/12/2001

3. Shri S.S.Rajput, District Manager, Dhar, M.P. State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, Dhar.

4. Shri A.K.Rawat, Deputy Manager, Headquarter M.P.State Civil Supplies Corporation,M.P.Bhopal,

5. Shri T.S.Raguvanshik,District Manager, M.P.State Civil Supplies Corporation, Sajapur.

6. Shri N.L.Barbar,District Manager, M.P.State Civil Supplies CorporationRajnandgaon.

7. Shri S.R.Matale District Manager, M.P.State CivilSupplies Corporation, Ambikapur.

8. Shri Yogesh Singh, District Manager, M.P.State Civil Supplies Corporation, Bilaspur.

9. Shri V.K.More, Deputy Manager, Regional Office, M.P.State Civil Supplies Corporation, Bilaspur.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(35)

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No-5026/00 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

3/2/2004

Shri Prashant Mishra for the petitioner.

Shri V.R. Tiwari for respondents no.1 and 2.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present ends of justice would be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation alongwith a copy of this petition within a month from today before the competent authority/respondent No. 1, which shall be decided by him on its own merits in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for respondents no.1 and 2 has no objection.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, without entering into the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, it is directed that the petitioner shall make a detailed representation alongwith a copy of this petition within a month from today before the

[पीछे देखिये

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(36)

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 — | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

competent authority/respondent No. 1 and on such representation being filed, the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority/respondent No. 1 as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of representation in accordance with law after hearing the parties, if required. If any adverse order is passed, the parties may avail remedy available under the law.

With the direction aforesaid, this petition is disposed of.

In view of the above, I.A.Nos. 10574/03 and 157/04 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

**Sd/-**
**Fakhruddin**
**Judge**

[पीछे देखिये